

© 2006 Contemporary Control Systems, Inc.

Inside Bill Lydon's World of Industrial Control and Building Automation

By George Thomas, Contemporary Controls



Bill Lydon has the heart of a businessman. At an early age, he showed determination and progress. Lydon has gone from selling newspapers at age 12 to becoming a successful business development consultant working with various companies in the controls and automation field. In addition, he facilitates groups to solve problems and identify

Business Development Consultant Bill Lydon

opportunities. In this interview Lydon reveals his philosophy based on his experiences in the corporate world and forming a start-up company.

Q: How did you become interested in electronics?

A: My father was an electrical engineer at Allen-Bradley for over 30 years so I was exposed to electronics early in life. We made a crystal set with an open crystal when I was about 9-years-old. I was really fascinated by it. I learned basic electronics by reading my father's copy of the American Radio Relay League handbook. This was a complete text on electronics from the basics to building amplifiers and radio transmitters. I drove my mother crazy because everyone knew they could give me their old radios and televisions. I would use them for parts to make amplifiers and mixers since I played guitar and a number of my friends were in garage bands.

Q: When did you begin to "sell" and "market?"

A: I was a paperboy for a weekly newspaper at 12-yearsold, and it was also my first cold call selling job. Paper routes were assigned a territory, and I started with 80 customers on my route. In order to increase my route to 120 customers, I made cold sales calls to those people that did take the newspaper. I actually typed business cards on paper and had copies made to handout. I gave these to all my customers and prospective customers. It introduced me and stated that if they ever had a problem with the newspaper delivery to call me day or night.

I learned that people were almost always friendly if you approached them in an open manner and you could sell to them. Service is very important to people, and they rewarded you with tips! In those days a "paperboy" was in business for himself. You were a paper distributor buying at a discount and selling at list price. A paperboy had to order papers in advance and bought them at a discount. If your forecast was wrong, you lost money; the inventory had a shelf life of hours! You were responsible for collections. It was a great learning experience.

During college I had jobs selling tires and later men's clothing at an upscale store in Milwaukee. In both jobs I was working with experienced sales people and learned what constituted a good salesperson. People need to trust you, and you must have their interest at heart. This translates into listening to their needs, understanding and solving their problems, and providing customer service. You need to be a good listener and communicator to be a good salesperson.

Q: What prompted you to join Johnson Controls (JCI)?

A: In my first real engineering position, I was employed by Sundstrand Machine Tool as part of a team developing new products for the direct-computer control of machine tools. Sundstrand was really pushing the technology, and this was a serious application of real-time computing for controls.

However, Sundstrand was falling on very bad times with heavy competition in their basic business from foreign companies. I did research and found that Johnson Controls was just beginning to apply minicomputers to building controls. I interviewed with JCI, and they liked my background in real-time controls which was difficult to find in 1973. They showed me a room full of high-performance minicomputers in the development group and I was sold; let me play in this sandbox!

Q: What were some of the major things you accomplished at JCI?

A: A major project was turning around the special projects group that did customizations of Building Automation Systems for customer projects. The entire effort was consuming too much manpower and losing money. Basically, our sales and design people were developing the solutions customers requested. This is a terrible way to do design. I stressed to our people that they should work to understanding the customer's problem and then propose solutions using as much standard product and software as possible. It took nearly one year to straighten this out, and I learned big lessons about managing developers, product management, and marketing.

A few years later management decided it was time to make a leap in technology from centralized minicomputer-

based systems to using the latest technology. I was assigned along with four other persons to a full-time skunk works for two years to research, architect, and design new products and systems applying new technology. This was really exciting; we had a budget and a blank sheet of paper! This was also a huge departure from JCI's normal method of doing things.

We had great brainstorming sessions sitting around a waste basket with a tape recorder running, eating peanuts in the shell, tossing the shells into the waste basket while tossing out ideas! These were free-wheeling sessions that generated great ideas. We had the tapes transcribed and later analyzed the ideas.

Q: Was JCI still heavily involved in pneumatic controls when you joined them?

A: JCI was heavy into pneumatics at the time and many in the company thought JCI should forget about wasting money on these new computer systems. I was involved in many meetings with the general management where there were heated arguments about this issue. It is important to understand that Building Automation lost money for a long time. We basically existed because the president of JCI at the time, Fred Brengel, was convinced in his "gut" that this was the future. It is great to work at a company with a visionary president!

Q: What is Event Technologies, Inc.? Who coined the name "GELLO?"

A: After my departure from JCI, I worked in sales and consulting in energy conservation and industrial controls. Eventually, I co-founded and operated a "soft control" company named Event Technologies, Inc. (ETI). The company had a vision of creating automation and links from the plant floor to the front office without the need for complex programming. Everything would be accomplished with simple visual programming. We developed an objectoriented software architecture with visual programming for controls and automation before Windows was available, running on UNIX-based platforms. Later the software was migrated to Windows 3.1. ETI was the first of a handful of new companies promoting innovative software for programming industrial controls. We all thought everyone would dump their PLCs and use PCs as the industrial controls engine.

The name "GELLO" was coined by our chief software architect, Dean Hansen. GELLO is an acronym for Graphically Enhanced Ladder Logic Objects. One of our other software people created an icon of a parfait glass with Jell-O cubes in various colors. I decided to use it as a key icon for the company.

Q: Who do you feel is the inventor or leader in the softPLC market?

A: Although there were several who implemented ladder logic on a PC, I believe Ron Lavallee, who created the FloPro software, as the most innovative. He provided new ways of implementing controls that were significantly

different than the relay ladder logic approach and persuaded a group at General Motors to use it in a major production facility.

Q: Most softPLC companies are out-of-business. Who would you consider survived?

A: In my opinion, there are no survivors. In a discussion that Ron Lavallee and I had a few years ago, he said a major controls user considered that the big benefit of the softPLC vendors being in the market was to force PLC companies to lower prices and add functions.

Q: The demise of the PLC with ladder logic programming has been predicated for years, but it is still here. What is the reason?

A: I think ladder logic is similar to spreadsheets; it will be part of the programming of controls for a long period.

It is universally understood. Just like spreadsheets when you are required to implement more function, it becomes difficult and the user needs other tools to solve the problem.

Let me provide an analogous example. The developments at JCI had been carried out in assembly code. When I was on the skunk works, we decided to develop in Pascal because it was a structured and procedural language. When we brought this into the mainstream development group at JCI, some of the assembly programmers complained that it was too restrictive. They didn't understand that we were developing complex items and needed a better language to accomplish our task. This is similar to the situation I view with automation and controls. Automation and controls are getting more complicated and requiring better software tools and languages.

Q: IEC 61131-3 programming is quite popular in Europe, but has failed to catch on in the U.S. Will this change?

A: This is changing quickly as users are discovering the benefits of employing IEC 61131. A great example of this is the packaging industry users such as Hershey, Pfizer, P&G, Unilever, Nestle, and others working with OMAC. They have agreed on standard control for packaging machines based on IEC 61131. They have gone further by defining a library of IEC 61131 function blocks vendors must use to implement packaging machine applications.

The U.S. controls industry has always resisted standards and has been the last to adapt. U.S. controls manufacturers resisted IEC contactors and the DIN-rail standard for years, but finally consented. The Fieldbus war is another example where open standards were not available until long after the computer industry had open protocols.

In my opinion, the reason open standards have taken so long to become used in the U.S. market is because of the dominance of a few vendors in the region effectively creating a closed market. It was not in the interest of the U.S. vendors to have open architecture products and this closed approach made it difficult for foreign vendors to penetrate the market. You could not find a foreign PLC in an American automotive facility until the last few years. This has been changing with the globalization of business and acquisition of U.S. companies by foreign ones. Now a sector of General Motors has standardized on Profibus I/O! The world is indeed getting smaller.

IEC 61131-3 is the market standard in Europe, Japan, and China. I think IEC 61131 is at the stage where adoption is accelerating in the U.S. as we are becoming a global economy, and the complexity of real-time control and automation is increasing. As Managing Director for PLCopen, North America, I am seeing the push by users for the use of IEC 61131-based products. All major vendors now have products that support the standard.

Q: Engineers perceive building automation and industrial automation as separate markets. What are the similarities and what are the differences?

A: There are subtle differences, but cost is the major one. Building automation systems are an inexpensive version of an industrial system. Controls response times are slower; the equipment does not need the electrical and physical protection required of industrial controls equipment.

Building Automation has a place in industrial plants for a number of reasons. I am writing a book on this topic for ISA (**www.isa.org**) and making a presentation at ISA Expo 2006.

Q: HVAC control loops are slow-acting, and now we see Ethernet being added to controllers. What advantage does Ethernet provide to building controllers?

A: There is a big installation cost advantage, and the promise of higher integration leading to benefits by using Ethernet. Ethernet is being integrated into the utility infrastructure of buildings just like water and electricity.

This "data utility" is employing Ethernet for computer networking and telephones so it is natural that the BAS use this infrastructure. Today, it is cheaper to purchase and run CAT 5 than control wiring. The wire can also take more abuse when installing.

Since control loops generally are self-contained in one controller, the communications performance really is not an issue.

Q: When will we ever see Ethernet-connected sensors and actuators in building automation?

A: Yes, in time, we will see these devices. There are two issues that need to be resolved before Ethernet can be used in a majority of applications to connect sensors and actuators: 1) The RJ-45 connector, while inexpensive, is not designed to handle the vibration and other issues for reliable controls. The industrial community is developing options with M12, M8, and other connector solutions that are too expensive for BAS. 2) Ethernet requires hub and spoke wiring. Sensor networks have been multi-drop to save wiring and termination costs.

Q: The BACnet and LONworks war continues. Which standard will emerge for the building automation industry?

A: My research indicates that LON is deeply entrenched as the sensor-actuator standard with BACnet as a distant second. LON has been adopted by a broad base of vendors. It is distributed, multi-drop network architecture. The LON challenge is to present a cohesive, technically viable IP solution.

Two major developments are impacting building communications, namely WEB services and wireless. The two competing BAS WEB services standards are oBIX and BACnet Web Services. This is again competing standards that should mean there will be faster progress. Wireless is full of hype, but the most sensible thing I have seen is the cooperation of the ZigBee standard with BACnet that has been announced recently.

Q: At one time there were only three major vendors of HVAC controls. How has open systems destroyed this model?

A: Open systems did create access for more companies to compete in the market. We saw this coming when I was still at JCI and, we adjusted by selling shared savings and building services which made it difficult for the small controls' companies to compete. Honeywell and Siemens followed the same strategy to one degree or another.

I think the model evolves. Many of the open-architecture companies have been bought or are in trouble because they took on too much overhead trying to act like a JCI or Honeywell. Many moved into system integration and building services, but they could not generate sufficient sales volume to grow. In essence, they were copying the big guys. This put these companies in direct competition with their dealers while increasing overhead costs in a business where they could not win. This is very similar to the mistakes made by Digital Equipment and Compaq before they crashed. The problem being that you compete with your own dealers. Companies need to carefully select the competitive dimensions in which to build business.

The big long-term change in the market is the growth and dominance of the independent building automation system integrator. In order to grow, the major BAS companies began to provide services performed by HVAC and mechanical contractors taking away business from the independent contractors. This business is stable and profitable. To compete, many of these independent companies have become building automation system integrators. These are strong companies that can compete locally with the major vendors on engineering, installation, and service. Open architecture means that the brand of BAS these companies sells is not the key to their relationship with customers. There are a solid group of these independents that have better overhead cost structures than BAS companies and develop strong loyal relationships with customers.

Q: Looking 10 years into the future, what technologies will have a profound impact on building automation systems?

A: Wireless is starting to have an impact. The hype would lead you to believe that it will replace the majority of wired sensors. We don't have enough wireless deployed in the field to identify potential problems.

I would expect better HVAC systems that deliver comfort, air quality, and are low-energy users. VAV (Variable Air Volume) is the most widely used today. However, many of the systems do not deliver good comfort and air quality for a number of reasons.

Throughout the years, I have seen systems using more distributed computing and bandwidth rising. The same has occurred in general computing. This trend will continue.

Software to dynamically-control building systems would be a major step forward. Environmental control in most buildings is terrible, even if they have an expensive BAS installed. I would expect to see systems that offer improved control with significantly less engineering required.

Q: What is your philosophy based on your experience in the corporate world and forming a start-up company?

A: There is seldom if ever a single "Silver Bullet" that solves a business problem. If you look at successful companies, they do a number of things right. Some things may standout and are perceived to be the ones that made them successful which is deceiving. It is difficult if not impossible to be perfect in all areas, but the combination of things a company does needs to equate to a positive.

You must develop, hone, and adjust your strategy to be successful and then use good tactics. I really love the quote from the Chinese General Sun Tzu, "Strategy without tactics is the slowest route to victory. Tactics without strategy is the noise before defeat." It sums up the challenge.

If your strategy is to produce the highest-quality products at the lowest cost, you are just trying to improve on best practices. That's not a strategy. This is operational effectiveness, and the things that you need to do to "play the game."

There's a fundamental distinction between strategy and operational effectiveness. Strategy is about making choices, trade-offs; it's about deliberately choosing to be different. The irony is when we look at the companies that we agree are successful; we also agree that they all clearly do have strategies. Look at Intel, Toyota, Coca-Cola, FedEx, Domino Pizza, Wal-Mart, CISCO, Dell, Proctor & Gamble, and H-P. They all have or had winning strategies. Yes, some of these companies were winning and now have problems; the lesson is you need to periodically do a check on your strategy since the environment changes.



Past issues of the copyrighted Extension are available. Please visit our web site www.ccontrols.com. Select Support and click on Extension Archive.

The essence of strategy is that you must set limits on what you're trying to accomplish. The company without a strategy is willing to try anything. If all you're trying to do is essentially the same thing as your rivals, then it's unlikely that you'll be very successful.

Part of a good strategy is to pick the competitive dimensions where you can exploit the weakness of enough competitors in the market to build your business.

The power of an idea is underrated, and most people do not spend enough time thinking. An example of the power of the idea is "Myspace" which was sold for \$580 million recently. Ideas are the "lifeblood" of an enterprise; this is the reason successful companies periodically have off-site facilitated sessions to develop new ideas and strategies.

These are quotes from some really excellent executive leaders I have worked for throughout the years that have stuck with me:

"You don't make money for doing the easy things everyone else is doing."

"When you're the fastest gun in the West, don't get into knife fights."

"Let's not drink our own bathwater."

Leadership still counts. Leadership and integrity go together.

Bill Lydon is a business development consultant working with companies in the industrial and building automation industries to create actionable marketing programs that increase sales. This is accomplished by first developing a strategic marketing focus to build a battle plan for success followed by creation of marketing and sales action plans. In some cases, Lydon fills the role of Business Development or Marketing Manager temporarily or long-term for a company based on their needs.

Lydon e-mails **FREE** weekly sales tips to people that sign up at www.getmarket.com to help them increase their sales effectiveness.